
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

RAMON TORRES HERNANDEZ, et al.

Plaintiffs,

v.

SHANE D. GOSDIS, et al.,

Defendants.

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION**

Case No. 2:24-cv-00682-AMA-DBP

District Judge Ann Marie McIff Allen

Magistrate Judge Dustin B. Pead

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation issued by United States Magistrate Judge Dustin B. Pead on April 25, 2025, recommending the Court dismiss this action based, primarily, on a lack of subject-matter jurisdiction.¹ The parties were notified of their right to file objections to the Report and Recommendation within fourteen days of service.² No party has filed any objection. Plaintiff Ramon Torress Hernandez made two filings after the Report and Recommendation was issued. Both ask this Court to “conclude” this action.³

Having reviewed all relevant materials, including the reasoning set forth in the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation, the Court adopts the recommendation, finding Plaintiffs have not stated any claim within this Court’s original jurisdiction.

¹ See Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 86).

² See *id.* at 9.


³ ECF No. 87 & 88.

ORDER

Based on the foregoing, the Court ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation, GRANTS Defendants Gosdis Law Firm, PLLC, and Shane Gosdis' Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 80), and DISMISSES this action for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction. All other remaining matters in this case are MOOT. The Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly.

DATED this 12th day of May 2025.

By the Court:



Ann Marie McIff Allen
United States District Judge